

Contributors and sources: REF is a consultant physician and clinical pharmacologist and chairs the drug and therapeutics committee of a large NHS Trust. SEMcD is a health scientist interested in prescribing and adverse drug reactions. Both believe that rational therapeutics is important. REF wrote the first draft and will act as guarantor. SEMcD participated in the research for the paper and contributed to the writing.

- 1 Ferner RE. Newly licensed drugs. *BMJ* 1996;313:1157-8.
- 2 Raftery J. NICE work: review of NICE's recommendations, 1999-2005. *BMJ* 2006;332:1266-8.
- 3 Hardin G. The tragedy of the commons. *Science* 1968;162:1243-8.
- 4 Foot B, Foy R, Chakravarthy U, Wormald R. Increasing use of a new health technology during the wait for NICE guidance: findings from the third national tracker survey of photodynamic therapy. *J Public Health (Oxf)* 2004;26:52-5.
- 5 DiMasi JA, Hansen RW, Grabowski HG. The price of innovation: new estimates of drug development costs. *J Health Econ* 2003;22:151-85.
- 6 Moynihan R, Heath I, Henry D. Selling sickness: the pharmaceutical industry and disease mongering. *BMJ* 2002;324:886-91.
- 7 Bhandari M, Busse JW, Jackowski D, Montori VM, Schunemann H, Sprague S, et al. Association between industry funding and statistically

significant pro-industry findings in medical and surgical randomized trials. *CMAJ* 2004;170:477-80.

- 8 Kjaergard LL, Als-Nielsen B. Association between competing interests and authors' conclusions: epidemiological study of randomised clinical trials published in the *BMJ*. *BMJ* 2002;325:249-52.
- 9 Friedberg M, Saffran B, Stinson TJ, Nelson W, Bennett CL. Evaluation of conflict of interest in economic analyses of new drugs used in oncology. *JAMA* 1999;282:1453-7.
- 10 Herxheimer A. Relationships between the pharmaceutical industry and patients' organisations. *BMJ* 2003;326:1208-10.
- 11 House of Commons Health Committee. *The influence of the pharmaceutical industry. Fourth report of session 2004-2005*. London: Stationery Office, 2005.
- 12 Department of Health. *Hewitt fast-tracks cancer drug to save 1000 lives*. 2005. [www.dh.gov.uk](http://www.dh.gov.uk) (search for: 0339).
- 13 Sheldon TA, Cullum N, Dawson D, Lankshear A, Lowson K, Watt I, et al. What's the evidence that NICE guidance has been implemented? Results from a national evaluation using time series analysis, audit of patients' notes, and interviews. *BMJ* 2004;329:999-1004.
- 14 Abacus International. NICE guidance implementation tracking data sources, methodology and results. [www.nice.org.uk/pdf/Abacus\\_report.pdf](http://www.nice.org.uk/pdf/Abacus_report.pdf) (accessed 12 Apr 2006).
- 15 Maynard A, Street A. Seven years of feast, seven years of famine: boom to bust in the NHS? *BMJ* 2006;332:906-8.

## When I use a word

### Nauseated/nauseous

I am often told that a patient is nauseous, only to find that he or she is actually nauseated, not nauseous at all, or at least not what I mean by nauseous.

The word nausea comes from the Greek *nausia* or *nautia*, which originally meant seasickness (Greek *naus* = ship). In Latin *nausea* meant to make sick; *nauseated* (from the supine form *nauseatum*) therefore means made to feel sick (verb transitive) or feeling sick (adjective).

Now the suffix *-osus* in Latin meant full of or rich in. And, although *nauseosus* could have meant feeling sick or nauseated, it was actually used to mean causing nausea. When *nauseous* came into English from the Latin it first meant likely to feel sick (that is, squeamish) or fastidious, but that meaning rapidly became obsolete. At the same time *nauseous* was used in its original Latin sense of causing nausea, and therefore smelling or tasting unpleasant and (figuratively) loathsome or disgusting. And that meaning persisted until about the middle of the 20th century.

However, *Webster's Third International Dictionary* (1961) gave two different meanings of *nauseous*: 1. Affected with or inclined to nausea: *nauseated*. 2. Causing or such as might be expected to cause nausea: sickening, loathsome, disgusting. This distinction was not made in Webster's second (*Webster's New International Dictionary*), so presumably the new meaning arose at some time between 1913 and 1961.

The distinction between *nauseous* and *nauseated* was not discussed by Fowler in his *Modern English Usage* (1926), nor by Ernest Gowers in his revision of Fowler (1965), but it was discussed in Bob Burchfield's revision (1996; see also *BMJ* 2000;320:357), in which he distinguished between British English and American English usages. According to Burchfield, in British English *nauseated* means feeling sick and *nauseous* means disgusting, but in American English *nauseous* has tended to replace *nauseated*, while *nauseating* has replaced *nauseous*.

On the other hand, some US sources have condemned the failure to observe the original distinction between *nauseous* and *nauseated*. For example, Wilson Follett, in his *Modern American Usage* (1966), wrote: "When we have two adjectives, *nauseous*

and *nauseated*, it should be clear that the first applies to the substance that causes the state named in the second. To call oneself *nauseous*, except in self-deprecation, is to ignore the point of view of the word." And Strunk and White in *The Elements of Style* (3rd edition, 1979) wrote: "Do not say 'I feel nauseous', unless you are sure you have that effect on others."

Nevertheless, by 1989 *Webster's Dictionary of English Usage* had gathered a large amount of evidence of the widespread use of *nauseous* to mean *nauseated*: "Any handbook that tells you that *nauseous* cannot mean 'nauseated' is out of touch with the contemporary [US] language."

Searching PubMed for examples of *nauseous* and *nauseated* in the titles and abstracts of bioscience publications, I have found only seven instances of *nauseous* in UK publications, compared with 51 worldwide, and 97 instances of *nauseated* worldwide. In one paper both were used: "The procedure had no significant effect on cardiovascular variables in control subjects or in subjects who were exposed to vestibular stimulation but who were not *nauseated* by it. Those subjects who felt *nauseous* showed a tachycardia and forearm vasodilatation" (*Cardiovascular Research* 1982;16:610-2). This example is interesting in that it shows the use of *nauseated* in the verbal sense and *nauseous*, meaning *nauseated*, in the adjectival.

But I still think that, although several of my patients are or become *nauseated*, sometimes because of drugs that I give them, very few of them are really *nauseous*.

Jeff Aronson *clinical pharmacologist, Oxford*  
([jeffrey.aronson@clinpharm.ox.ac.uk](mailto:jeffrey.aronson@clinpharm.ox.ac.uk))

We welcome articles up to 600 words on topics such as *A memorable patient*, *A paper that changed my practice*, *My most unfortunate mistake*, or any other piece conveying instruction, pathos, or humour. Please submit the article on <http://submit.bmj.com>. Permission is needed from the patient or a relative if an identifiable patient is referred to. We also welcome contributions for "Endpieces," consisting of quotations of up to 80 words (but most are considerably shorter) from any source, ancient or modern, which have appealed to the reader.